BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, To Increase Revenue Requirements for Electric and Gas Service and to Increase Rates and Charges for Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2003.

Application 02-11-017 (Filed November 8, 2002)

(U 39 M)

Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Service and Facilities of Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Investigation 03-01-012 (Filed January 16, 2003)

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Pursuant to Resolution E-3770 for Reimbursement of Costs Associated with Delay in Implementation of PG&E's New Customer Information System Caused by the 2002 20/20 Customer Rebate Program.

Application 02-09-005 (Filed September 6, 2002)

(U 39 E)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S SECOND RULING REVISING SCHEDULE

On August 11, 2005, I issued a ruling establishing a revised schedule for serving testimony, evidentiary hearings, briefs and a presiding officer's decision in this proceeding. As that ruling indicated, the revised schedule accommodated a joint request by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and the Consumer

221441 - 1 -

Protection and Safety Division (CPSD) related to difficulties in PG&E's production of documents in response to data requests.

On December 16, 2005, CPSD requested an additional extension of the direct testimony due date due to the fact that PG&E would be providing an updated response to a discovery request after the due date for prepared testimony. CPSD initially sought an extension until Monday, December 19, 2005. CPSD's request was granted and was followed by a series of telephonic conferences conducted on December 19, 2005, January 10, 2006, and January 20, 2006, during which the parties discussed the status of the outstanding data requests and any necessary revisions to the schedule in this proceeding. At the January 20, 2006 telephone conference PG&E confirmed that as of January 11, 2006, it had served CPSD with the requested discovery.

Because of these events, the parties agreed to a further extension of time in the schedule. The Parties acknowledged that based on the events that have transpired, good cause exists for the Commission to process this case in more than one year, if necessary. The Parties also agreed to the revised schedule set forth below with the exception of the start date for evidentiary hearings, which has been adjusted slightly to provide for hearing room availability.

The revised schedule is as follows:

Date	Event
Friday, February 3, 2006	Staff and Intervenors serve direct testimony.
Friday, March 31, 2006	PG&E serves responsive testimony.
Friday, May 5, 2006	Staff and Intervenors serve rebuttal testimony.
Friday, May 12, 2006	Parties exchange (by email or fax) cross-examination estimates with each other and the ALJ. ALJ Halligan's email is jmh@cpuc.ca.gov. The estimates shall be sent so that they are received by 5:00 p.m.
Monday, May 15, 2006	Prehearing Conference
Wednesday, May 24, 2006, commencing at 9:30 a.m. to be continued day to day as necessary through June 6, 2006	Evidentiary hearings in the Commission Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA.
Friday, July 7, 2006	Concurrent opening briefs filed.
Friday, July 28, 2006	Concurrent reply briefs filed.
Friday, September 26, 2006	Presiding Officer's Decision.

IT IS RULED that the schedule is revised as set forth in this ruling. Dated February 16, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JULIE HALLIGAN Julie Halligan Administrative Law Judge

221441 - 3 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Second Ruling Revising Schedule on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated February 16, 2006, at San Francisco, California.



NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.